



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,740	04/19/2001	Mark Weinzierl	107870.00026 9331	
Robrt C. Klinger Jackson Walker L.L.P. Suite 600 2435 North Center Expressway Richardson, TX 75080			EXAMINER	
			CASIANO, ANGEL L	
			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER
			2182	G
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PRE				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Ädvisory Action	09/838,740	WEINZIERL ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angel L. Casiano	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper reply to a which places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ace event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of ed statutory period for reply originally set	the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl		•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		,				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 22-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		_				
<del></del>						
JEFFREY	GAFFIN //	PR1				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TEUMNULUGY CENTER 2150 Advisory Action

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/838,740

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to cite "a first device adapted to wirelessly communicate with a second device via at least a first communication device or a second communication device". Independent claims 14, 20, 22 and 23 have been amended to include similar limitations. Examiner respectfully submits that these added limitations raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Accordingly, Examiner respectfully maintains his position as stated in previous Office action. As exposed in the Final Office action, Holshouser teaches or suggests all the limitations corresponding to claims 1-10, 13 and 23-25. In particular, the cited art teaches a system providing a wireless day planner including first and second communication devices. The combination of prior art of Holshouser in view of Wang et al. teaches or suggests all the limitations corresponding to claims 11-12 and 22 (see previous Office action).